

# Beam Trawl on Submarine Structures Made by Leaking Gases

## Introduction

The Assessing Welsh Fisheries Activities Project is a structured approach to determine the impacts from current and potential fishing activities, from licensed and registered commercial fishing vessels, on the features of Marine Protected Areas.

<b>1. Gear and Feature</b>	Beam Trawl on Submarine Structures Made by Leaking Gases
<b>2. Risk Level</b>	Purple (High risk)
<b>3. Description of Feature</b>	<p>Submarine structures made by leaking gases consist of sandstone slabs, pavements, and pillars up to 4m high, formed by aggregation of sediment by carbonate cement resulting from microbial oxidation of gas emissions, mainly methane. The formations are interspersed with gas vents that intermittently release gas. The methane most likely originates from the microbial decomposition of fossil plant materials (EC, 2007).</p> <p>There are two types of submarine structures. The first type of submarine structures are known as “bubbling reefs”. These formations support a zonation of diverse benthic communities consisting of algae and/or invertebrate specialists of hard marine substrates different to that of the surrounding habitat. A variety of sublittoral topographic features are included in this habitat such as: overhangs, vertical pillars and stratified leaf-like structures with numerous caves. Animals seeking shelter in the numerous caves further enhance the biodiversity. (EC, 2007).</p> <p>Fauna found in “Bubbling reefs” consist of a large diversity of invertebrates from the phyla Porifera, Anthozoa, Polychaeta, Gastropoda, Decapoda and Echinodermata as well as a number of fish species. The polychaete <i>Polycirrus norwegicus</i> and the bivalve <i>Kellia suborbicularis</i> are typically associated with the habitat and rare</p>

elsewhere in the region (EC, 2007).

Flora found in photic zone “Bubbling reefs” may consist of marine macroalgae such as Laminariales, other foliose and filamentous brown and red algae (EC, 2007).

The second type are carbonate structures within “pockmarks” formed by leaking gases. Pockmarks are depressions in soft sediment seabed areas, they can be up to 45m deep and a few hundred meters wide. Methane gas escapes the seabed leaving a circular depression. It is suspected that pockmarks form by sudden “catastrophic” gas or porewater eruption and that they periodically have short outbursts followed by long periods of quiescence or micro seepage (Hovland *et al*, 2005).

Pockmarks comprise benthic communities of invertebrate specialists, some preferring hard marine substrata which differs from the communities comprising the surrounding (usually) muddy habitat.

Invertebrate specialists of hard substrate include Hydrozoa, Anthozoa, Ophiuroidea and Gastropoda. The diversity of the infauna community in the muddy slope surrounding the “pockmark” may be high (EC, 2007). One species has been recognised as endemic to pockmarks, the beard worm *Siboglinum poseidoni*. The worm lives in the surrounding soft sediment, not on the carbonate structures (Seffel, 2010). In the soft sediment surrounding the pockmark Nematodae, Polychaeta and Crustacea are also present. (EC, 2007).

No flora is usually found in “Pockmarks” (EC, 2007).

There are thought to be several submarine structures (“bubbling reefs”) in Welsh waters, the main one is called Holden’s reef, it is described as: nodular boulders and consolidated carbonate-bound sand forming a low-lying reef surrounded by a sand plain. Filamentous and foliose red and brown algae covered the upward-facing surfaces with patches of the sea squirt *Molgula manhattensis*, bryozoans, hydroids, sponges, the soft coral *Alcyonium digitatum* and barnacles

	<p>also present. Rock-boring fauna were apparent in most of the hard substrata including piddocks <i>Hiatella arctica</i> and the sponge <i>Cliona celata</i>. The rugged nature of the reef provides many holes and crevices for mobile crustacea, fish and echinoderms (JNCC).</p>
<p><b>4. Description of Gear</b></p>	<p>A beam trawl consists of a cone-shaped body of net ending in a bag or codend, which retains the catch. In these trawls the horizontal opening of the net is provided by a beam, made of wood or metal, attached to two solid metal plates called 'shoes'. These 'shoes' are welded to the end of the beam which slide over the seabed when the beam and net are dragged by the vessel (FAO, 2001).</p> <p>When fishing for flatfish, mainly sole or plaice, the beam trawl is equipped with tickler chains to disturb the fish from the seabed. For operations on rough fishing grounds chain matrices/mats can be used. Chain matrices/mats are rigged between the beam and the ground rope to prevent damage to the net and to prevent boulders/stones from being caught by the trawl.</p> <p>A beam trawl is normally towed on outriggers; one 4m beam trawl on each side of a powerful vessel, the gear can reach a weight of up to 9000kg. A 'Eurocutter' beam trawler with an engine power &lt;221Kw will leave parallel trawl tracks of approximately 4m wide and 11m apart on the seabed (ICES, 2014). The total length of the net used on a 'Eurocutter' should be between 10 to 15m.</p> <p>Inshore vessels may use one smaller beam, approximately 2m, off the stern of the vessel. The total length of the net should be 5 to 6m.</p> <p>The penetration depth of a beam trawl ranges from 1 to 8cm but depends on the weight of the gear and the towing speed, as well as on the type of substrate (Paschen <i>et al</i>, 2000).</p>
<p><b>5. Assessment of Impact Pathways:</b></p> <p>1. Damage to a designated habitat feature (including through direct physical impact, pollution, changes in thermal regime,</p>	<p>There is a lack of studies specifically investigating the impacts of beam trawling on submarine structures; therefore it is necessary to widen the research parameters to include other comparable bottom contacting mobile gear.</p>

<p>hydrodynamics, light etc).</p> <p>2. Damage to a designated habitat feature via removal of, or other detrimental impact on, typical species.</p>	<p>1. Fishing equipment like bottom trawling nets are known to tear off pieces of the carbonate structures, thus destroying or damaging the habitat (Seffel, 2010).</p> <p>JNCC<sup>1</sup> (2008) report on the Scanner pockmark site states that ‘Bottom trawling could have modified the structure of the pockmark, causing burial of some of the submarine structures, as well as breaking and displacement of carbonate pieces and some fishing nets were observed caught on the structures. However, the feature appears to be largely undamaged.</p> <p>Bottom trawl gears effect the environment in both direct and indirect ways. Direct effects include scraping and ploughing of the substrate, sediment resuspension and destruction of benthos. Indirect effects include post-fishing mortality and long-term trawl-induced changes to the benthos (Jones, 1992).</p> <p>Little is understood about the recoverability or growth rates of the submarine structures caused by leaking gases. Crocker <i>et al</i> (2005), however, do make a correlation between the seepage rates and migration pathways of leaking gases and growth, although no rate is mentioned. In their report, “Gas-Related Seabed Structures in the Western Irish Sea”, they discuss echosounder profiles of the 30 mound structures identified; some of which are made by actively seeping gas, although the exact mode of formation of the mounds was unclear. They conclude that simple cementation of the sands by Methan-derived Authigenic Carbonate (MDAC) doesn’t explain how they grow to become features with vertical relief of some 5-10m above the seabed.</p> <p>Following direct contact that causes damage, recoverability is not measurable or predictable.</p> <p>Gears such as beam trawls and scallop dredges, are designed specifically to disturb surface sediments to increase the catch rate of the target species (Kaiser <i>et al</i>, 1996).</p>
---	--

The carbonate structures created in the seabed are dependant on erosion of the surrounding sediments to become exposed. High sedimentation rates may counteract the erosion and cover the structures (Seffel, 2010). Trawling and dredging can re-suspend large amounts of sediments (Pilskaln *et al*, 1998) and this sediment could settle on the carbonate structure.

In areas of low tidal influence, the sediment disturbed by bottom contacting gears may settle and smother low-lying carbonate structures. In areas of high tidal influence, sedimentation may be removed on the following tide.

**In conclusion**, direct contact between beam trawl and submarine structures made by leaking gases could cause structural damage through the ploughing and scraping of the beam and the tearing and fragmenting of the trailing nets. The increase in sediment disturbance from the interaction of the bottom contacting gear with the seabed, in areas of low tidal influence, could cause a settling of sediment, covering the structure which could slow the rate of recovery.

**2.** Beam trawls can cause direct mortality to non-target organisms through shoe, tickler chain or chain mat impact on the seabed (Bergman & van Santbrink, 2000).

Fishing equipment like bottom trawling nets are known to tear off pieces of the carbonate structures, thus destroying or damaging the habitat (Seffel, 2010). The direct effects of beam trawling on a submarine structure could include the loss of erect and sessile epifauna, smoothing of sedimentary bedforms and removal of taxa that produce structure. Trawl gear can crush, bury or expose marine flora or fauna and reduce structural diversity (Auster & Langton, 1999). The structural complexity of a carbonate reef structure is thought to provide spaces for animals like crustacea and fish to inhabit. Physical damage to the reef would lead to a loss of structural complexity and therefore a consequent loss in fauna might be expected.

	<p>Collie <i>et al</i> (2000) undertook an analysis of published research into fishing activity impacts on the seabed, based on 39 research projects undertaken previously. They found an average of 46% decrease in total number of species individuals within study sites that were disturbed with bottom towed gear.</p> <p>Eutrophication changes the light reaching the structures and decreases the cover (and biomass) of macroalgae. Eutrophication also increases the amount of plankton production, increasing the amount of sedimentation, which also is a threat. High sedimentation rates may create an anoxic environment near the seafloor, making it hard for most flora and fauna to survive (Seffel, 2010).</p> <p><b>In conclusion</b>, beam trawling on submarine structures can damage and/or remove flora and fauna, reducing structural taxa. The increase in sedimentation by bottom contacting gears can create an anoxic environment, making it hard for flora and fauna to survive.</p>	
<p><b>6. MPAs where feature exists</b></p>	<p><b>Pen Llyn A'r Sarnau SAC</b></p>	<p>There is only one area of carbonate reef in Welsh territorial waters. This comprises several Bubbling reefs and it is found within this SAC within 2Nm of the coast between Barmouth and Dyffryn Ardudwy.</p> <p>The sediments surrounding Holden's Reef are medium to coarse sands and unlikely to cause an anoxic environment if increased sedimentation occurs.</p>

## 7. Conclusion

The information presented above indicates that the action of fishing with a beam trawl gear directly on submarine structures made by leaking gases could cause damage to the structure and associated species through ploughing and scraping. An increase in sedimentation and eutrophication through seabed disturbance by the gear could influence gas seepage rates and cause smothering of the structure and its associated flora and fauna in an area of low tidal influence. Little is understood about growth rates of these structures, therefore recoverability is unknown.

## 8. References

- Auster, P.J. & Langton, R.W. (1999). The effects of fishing on fish habitat. In: Benaka L (ed) Fish habitat essential fish habitat (EFH) and rehabilitation. Am Fish Soc 22:150-187
- Bergman, M.J.N. & Santbrink, J.van. (2000). Mortality in megafaunal benthic populations caused by trawl fisheries on the Dutch continental shelf in the North Sea in 1994 ICES J. Mar. Sci. 57 (5): 1321-1331
- Collie, J.S., Hall, S.J., Kaiser, M.J. & Poiner, I.R. (2000). A quantitative analysis of fishing impacts shelf-sea benthos. Journal of Animal Ecology, 69(5), 785–798.
- Croker, P.F., Kozachenko, M. & Wheeler, A.J. (2005). Gas-Related Seabed Structures in the Western Irish Sea (IRL-SEA6). Technical report produced for Strategic Environmental Assessment – SEA6
- EC. (2007). European Council DG Environment. Interpretation manual of European Union Habitats (EUR27) Page 16.
- FAO. (2001). Fishing Gear types. Beam trawls. Technology Fact Sheets. In: FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department [online]. Rome. Updated 13 September 2001. [Cited 10 January 2017]. <http://www.fao.org/fishery/geartype/305/en>
- Hovland, M., Svensen, H., Forsberg, C.H., Johansen, H., Fichler, C., Fosså, J.H., Jonsson, R. & Rueslåtten, H. (2005). – *Complex pockmarks with carbonate-ridges of mid-Norway: Products of sediment degassing*, Marine Geology 218, 191-206
- ICES. (2014). Second Interim Report of the Working Group on Spatial Fisheries Data (WGSFD), 10–13 June 2014, ICES Headquarters, Copenhagen, Denmark . ICES CM 2014/SSGSUE:05. 102 pp.
- JNCC. Joint Nature Conservation Committee – Marine Recorder. <http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-1599> (viewed 06-02-2017)
- JNCC<sup>1</sup>. (2008). Scanner Pockmark SAC Selection Assessment: [http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/PDF/ScannerPockmark\\_SelectionAssessment\\_4.0.pdf](http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/PDF/ScannerPockmark_SelectionAssessment_4.0.pdf)
- Jones, B. (1992). Environmental impact of trawling on the seabed: A review, New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 26:1, 59-67,
- Kaiser, M.J., Hill, A.S., Ramsay, K., Spencer, B.E., Brand, A.R., Veale, L.O., Prudden, K., Rees, E.I.S., Munday, B.W., Ball, B. & Hawkins, S.J. (1996). Benthic disturbance by fishing gear in the Irish Sea: a comparison of beam trawling and scallop dredging. Aquatic Conservation, 6: 269–285.
- Paschen, M., Richter, U. & Köpnick, W. (2000). Trawl Penetration in the Seabed (TRAPESE). Final report Contract No. 96–006.
- Pilskaln, C.H., Churchill, J.H., Mayer, L.M. (1998). Frequency of bottom trawling in the Gulf of Maine and speculations on the geochemical consequences. Conservation Biology 12: 1223-1229
- Seffel, A. (2010). Present knowledge of Submarine structures made by leaking gases in European waters, and steps towards a monitoring strategy for the habitat. Report written by Ekologigruppen AB. University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany. 150 pp.